RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 2627

Docket No.: 1293.1071DDC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jung-wan KO et al.

Application No. 10/779,767

Group Art Unit: 2627

Confirmation No. 1606

Filed: February 18, 2004

Examiner: Kim Kwok Chu

For:

RECORDING MEDIUM FOR STORING WRITE PROTECTION INFORMATION AND

WRITE PROTECTION METHOD THEREOF

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: **BOX AF**

Sir:

In the telephone interview conducted on April 15, 2009, the Applicants' representative and the Examiner discussed the recited limitation of claims 1 and 13, "a plurality of identical write protection information is stored in physically separate locations." The Examiner suggested that the Applicants amend the claims to specify that the plurality of write protection information is for the purpose of backup and redundancy, while the overwrite prevention information in the cited prior art (Ro) is for protecting corresponding recording data. In response, the Applicants' representative argued that the cited prior art reference (Ro) does not disclose a plurality of identical write protection information, as the plurality of overwrite prevention information in Ro are different in at least the Position Data field.

Respectfully submitted,

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP

Date: 5 /15 /09

Fadi N. Kiblawi

Registration No. 61,973

1400 Eye St., N.W. Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 216-9505 Facsimile: (202) 216-9510